

Reviewing the Evidence Supporting Real-Time Continuous Glucose Monitoring (rtCGM): Opportunities for Patient Engagement and Quality Improvement in Managed Care Pharmacy

Jointly provided by:





This activity is supported by an independent educational grant from Dexcom, Inc.

In conjunction with:



NWAMCP Affiliate Educational Event

April 13, 2023











Antitrust Statement

AMCP's policy is to comply fully and strictly with all federal and state antitrust laws. Meetings held under the auspices of the Academy must be conducted in a manner that avoids the fact or appearance of conduct that may violate the antitrust laws. Individuals participating in this meeting are referred to the March 2006 memo from AMCP General Counsel Bill Hermelin regarding Antitrust Guidelines for Academy Meetings. In particular, I remind the participants not to discuss industry-wide or individual company prices (current or projected) or matters relating to pricing such as costs, profits, contractual terms and conductions (e.g., discounts, credit terms), wages/salaries, market allocation, market shares/sales or clients/customers. AFFILIATE UPCOMING EVENTS

LEO Pharma Shadowing Day August 15-17 (Madison, NJ)

Day 1	Meet and greet, topic discussions on drug development, business case assessment, PIE Exchange,
	regulatory filing & commercialization, manufacturing & channel distribution, legal, compliance,
	marketing, commercial engagement.

Day 2 Breakout case study activity with assigned experts, followed by discussions on specialty pharmacy & field reimbursement, market access, traditional field medical and HEOR roles, employee engagement, LEO Pharmacy Fellowship Program, and panel discussions.

Other Details

- In partnership between LEO Pharma and the MW, NE, NW and SE Affiliates of AMCP
- 3 students from each of the participating affiliates will be selected
- Application opens March 27th
- Applications due May 5th
- Selected students will be notified by May 29th



WELCOME!



Erin Nowak, PharmD President



Michael Lee, PharmD Chris Yates, PharmD Treasurer Secretary



Heidi Chinwuba, PharmD Program and Education Coordinator



Social Chair

Shirley Quach, PharmD JT Lew, PharmD Communications/Membership Engagement Chair



Amelia Brown, PharmD Colleges of Pharmacy Liaison (WA)



Cari Hall, PharmD President-elect



Henry Nguyen, PharmD Treasurer-elect



YJ Shukla, PharmD Secretary-elect



Christine Radkey, PharmD Program and Education Coordinators.



Nora Francis Social Chair





Cheryl Bondy Kelli Hoang, PharmD Communications/Membership Colleges of Pharmacy Liaison Engagement Chair (OR)



Kenneth Garcia, PharmD Immediate Past President

2023-2024 NW AMCP Board Members



THANK YOU! Event Collaborators/Sponsors





This activity is supported by an independent educational grant from Dexcom, Inc.





Reviewing the Evidence Supporting Real-Time Continuous Glucose Monitoring (rtCGM): Opportunities for Patient Engagement and Quality Improvement in Managed Care Pharmacy

Jointly provided by:





This activity is supported by an independent educational grant from Dexcom, Inc.

In conjunction with:





Welcome and Pre-Survey Questions

Jeff Dunn, PharmD

Chief Clinical Officer Cooperative Benefits Group

Agenda



6:30 PM	Opening Comments, Instructions, and Pre-Survey Jeff Dunn, PharmD
6:35 PM	Evidence-Based Application of CGM to Enhance Patient Care and Outcomes Nicole Ehrhardt, MD
7:05 PM	Improving Diabetes Care Quality with Pharmacy Access to CGM Carly Rodriguez, PharmD, FAMCP
7:20 PM	NW Region Panel Discussion Omar Daoud, PharmD Nicole Ehrhardt, MD Carly Rodriguez, PharmD, FAMCP John Watkins, PharmD, MPh, BCPS
7:50 PM	Audience Q&A Session
8:00 PM	Closing Comments; Post-Activity Assessment and Evaluation



- Assess clinical evidence and expert recommendations supporting the use of CGM in patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes
- Characterize the value of CGM in improving diabetes outcomes via patient engagement and behavior modification
- Describe the role of managed care pharmacy professionals in facilitating appropriate CGM access and utilization

Patient Video

Biz Velatini Age 55





Evidence-Based Application of CGM to Enhance Patient Care and Outcomes

Nicole Ehrhardt, MD

Assistant Professor of Medicine UW Medicine Diabetes Institute

Diabetes Management is an Ongoing Challenge for Patients, With Only 21% of Adults Achieving A1c <7%

Food

- ↑↑ 1. Carbohydrate quantity
- → ↑ 2. Carbohydrate type
- → ↑ 3. Fat
- →↑ 4. Protein
- → ↑ 5. Caffeine
- 🗸 🛧 6. Alcohol
- 🕹 ↑ 7. Meal timing
- ↑ 8. Dehydration
- ? 9. Personal microbiome

Medication

- →↓ 10. Medication dose
- 11. Medication timing
- 12. Medication interactions
- ↑↑ 13. Steroid administration
- ↑ 14. Niacin (Vitamin B3)

Activity

- → ↓ 15. Light exercise
- ↓↑ 16. High-intensity and moderate exercise
- → ↓ 17. Level of fitness/training
- 🔸 ↑ 18. Time of day
- ↓ ↑ 19. Food and insulin timing

Biological ↑ 20. Insufficient sleep

- 21. Stress and illness
 22. Recent hypoglycemia
- →↑ 23. During-sleep blood sugars
- 24. Dawn phenomenon
 25. Infusion set issues
- ↑ 26. Scar tissue and lipodystrophy
- ↓↓ 27. Intramuscular insulin delivery
- ↑ 28. Allergies
- ↑ 29. A higher glucose level
- ↓↑ 30. Periods (menstruation)
- ↑↑ 31. Puberty
- 32. Celiac disease
- 33. Smoking

Environmental

- ↑ 34. Expired insulin
 - ↑ 35. Inaccurate BG reading
- ↓ ↑ 36. Outside temperature
 - ↑ 37. Sunburn
 - ? 38. Altitude

Behavioral & Decision Making

- ↓ 39. Frequency of glucose checks
- ↓↑ 40. Default options and choices
- ↓↑ 41. Decision-making biases
- 42. Family relationships and social pressures

42 Factors Affect BG

- Daily time for self-care activities for adults with T2D:
 234 min
- Daily time for self-care activities for children with T1D:305 min
- "If you really look at it, having diabetes means you have an additional job to attend to every day."

Aus Alzaid, MD. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2014;16(8):542–544.

Foster NC, et al. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. 2019;21:61-72. Credit: Adam Brown. diatribe, Feb 2018 Shubrook JH, et al. *Diabetes Spectr*. 2018;31:267-271. CGM Can Unlock Vital Insights for Patients and Clinicians to Optimize Diabetes Management



The many faces of a 7% HbA1c (and an average blood glucose of 154 mg/dL) 180 180 180 mg/dl mg/dl mg/dl 70 70 70 mg/dl mg/dl mg/dl 12am 12pm 12am 12am 12pm 12am 12am 12pm 12am High High In-Range 25% 40% 40% **In-Range In-Range** 100% 70% 5% Low Low 20%

Metrics that only CGM can provide:

- Time in Range (TIR)
- Time Above Range (TAR)
- Time Below Range (TBR)
- Glycemic Variability (GV)
- Glycemic Management Indicator (GMI)

The Latest Generation of Integrated CGM (iCGM) Systems Support Enhanced Diabetes Management



Cleared for Use in Patients Aged ≥2 years

> Cleared for Use in Pregnancy

Cleared for Use with Automated Insulin Delivery

Real-time remote data sharing

Real-time and continuous without scanning



Enhanced Ease-of-Use

Customizable Alerts

Shorter Warm-Up Interval

HbA1c Levels in Type 1 Diabetes from Early Childhood to Older Adults

A Deeper Dive into the Influence of Technology and Socioeconomic Status



Miller KM, Beck RW, Foster NC, Maahs DM. . Diabetes Technol Ther. 2020 Sep;22(9):645-650.

CGM Improves Glycemic Control Regardless of Socioeconomic Status

Ô

- CGM User

Average A1C from early childhood to older adult by **household income** and CGM use.

Average A1C from early childhood

to older adult by insurance status

CGM non-users: n=10,541

CGM users: n=4,055

- CGM non-users: n=7,903
- CGM users: n=3,198

and CGM use.

Mean HbA1c (mmol/mol) 11.0 96 <\$50,000 <\$50,000-<\$100,000 ≥\$100,000 90 Mean HbA1c (%) 10.0 84 78 72 9.0 66 8.0 60 54 7.0 48 42 6.0 25 65 25 65 5 45 5 25 65 5 45 Age, years CGM Non-User CGM User Mean HbA1c (mmol/mol) 11.0 96 State/Federal Insurance No Insurance **Private Insurance** 90 **Mean HbA1c (%)** 10.0 84 78 9.0 72 66 8.0 60 54 7.0 48 42 6.0

CGM Non-User

Age, years

45

25

5

65

25

45

65

US data from 21,253 T1D Exchange Clinic Registry participants with an HbA1c measurement between January 1, 2016, and March 31, 2018, according to device use, race/ethnicity, and measures of SES

5

25

45

65

Miller K et al. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2020;22(9):645-650. doi: 10.1089/dia.2019.0393.

CGM Improves Glycemic Control Regardless of Race/Ethnicity





US data from 21,253 T1D Exchange Clinic Registry participants with an HbA1c measurement between January 1, 2016, and March 31, 2018, according to device use, race/ethnicity, and measures of SES

Miller K et al. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2020;22(9):645-650. doi: 10.1089/dia.2019.0393.

CGM is Underutilized in Demographics Characterized by Worsening Diabetes Outcomes: Aging Populations and Those Affected by SDOH



ADA. https://diabetes.org/sites/default/files/2021-10/ADA%20CGM%20Utilization%20White%20Paper.pdf.

Clinical Practice Guidelines on CGM





ADA Standards of Care^{1,2} **2023**

RT-CGM (Grade A[§]) or IS-CGM (Grade B^{II}) should be offered for diabetes management in adults with diabetes on MDI or CSII.

Initiation of CGM, CSII, or AID early in the treatment of diabetes can be beneficial (Grade C[¶]).

RT-CGM (Grade A[§]) or IS-CGM (Grade C[¶]) should be offered for diabetes management in adults with diabetes on basal insulin.



AACE Clinical Practice Guideline³ 2022

RT-CGM or IS-CGM **is recommended** for all persons with T1D regardless of insulin delivery system. **(Grade A[†])**

RT-CGM or IS-CGM **is recommended** for persons with T2D who are treated with insulin therapy, or who have high risk of hypoglycemia and/or hypoglycemia unawareness (**Grade A**[†])

[†]High strength of evidence; BEL 1. [§]Clear evidence from well-conducted, generalizable randomized controlled trials that are adequately powered. ^{II}Supportive evidence from well-conducted cohort studies. ^{II}Supportive evidence from poorly controlled or uncontrolled studies. ADA=American Diabetes Association. AACE=American Association of Clinical Endocrinology. MDI=multiple daily injections. CSII=continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion. AID=automated insulin delivery. BEL=best evidence level.. RT-CGM=real-time continuous glucose monitoring. IS-CGM=intermittent scanning continuous glucose monitoring. T1D=type 1 diabetes. T2D=type 2 diabetes.

1. American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care*. 2023;46(Suppl. 1):S1-S2. 2. American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care*. 2023;46(Suppl. 1):S111-S127. 3. Blonde L. et al. Endocr Pract. 2022 Oct;28(10):923-1049.

CGM Improved Glycemic Outcomes Across Different Ethnic Backgrounds, Ages, Income, Numeracy & Education Levels





- Randomized controlled trial •
- 176 patients with T2D randomized into rtCGM and BGM • groups and followed up for 8 months in primary care
- Patients were non-intensively treated with 1-2 daily • injections of long-or intermediate-acting basal insulin

1. Martens T et al. JAMA. 2021;325(22):2262-2272. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.7444.

baseline



A Post Hoc Analysis from MOBILE Showed the Effectiveness of CGM in Older Adults with T2D



Cohort of 42 older adults (aged ≥65 years) who completed MOBILE Mean change in A1c -1.08% in the CGM group and -0.38% in the BGM group (adjusted mean difference, -0.65%)

Adjusted mean difference in A1c between treatment groups: -0.35% in the <65 years age group Comparable treatment group differences favoring the CGM group were observed in both the ≥65 and <65 years age groups for TIR, time in hyperglycemia, and mean glucose

The glycemic improvement associated with CGM in older adults with T2D on basal insulin were at least as great as that observed in younger adults

CGM Optimizes Outcomes in T2D Treated with Less Intensive Therapy



At 6 months, patients with T2D treated with **basal insulin only or noninsulin therapy** using CGM demonstrated...

- ...significant reductions in HbA1c and average glucose
- ...significantly increased %TIR, with all patients maintaining %TBR targets





Higher CGM Utilization Improves T2D Outcomes in Underserved Populations

Retrospective Cohort Study

- 3,036 adults with diabetes*
- Enrolled in a US Medicaid program that fully subsidized CGM
- CGM use was associated with improved A1c (-1.2%; P<0.001) among those with T2D comparable between major racial/ethnic groups
- Those with higher CGM fill adherence achieved greater A1c reduction (-1.4%; P<0.001) compared with lower adherence (-1.0%; P<0.001)

*422 adults with T2D were dispensed CGM, with various insulin regimens (once-daily, twice-daily, or MDI/pump)

Ni K, et al. Effect of CGM Access Expansion on Uptake Among Patients on Medicaid With Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2023;46:391–398 | doi.10.2337/dc22-1287.

Regulatory and Coverage Milestones Reflect Advances in Technology and Support for CGM as Standard of Care



Considering Recent Evidence and Expert Recommendations, CMS Has Expanded CGM Coverage



LCD—Glucose Monitors (DL33822) (Effective 4/16/2023)

To be eligible for coverage of CGM, patients must only be **insulin treated**:

- Includes both intensive and non-intensive patients
- No language specific to once-daily insulin, allowing for coverage in those treated with weekly insulins in the future

Those with a history of **problematic hypoglycemia** are also eligible for coverage of CGM:

- Patients who are treated with sulfonylureas or other non-insulin therapies are often at risk for hypoglycemia, which imposes a serious safety concern
- These patients present an opportunity for enhanced management with CGM

Glucose Monitors L33822. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Accessed February 2023. https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?lcdid=33822

Older Adults Report High Usability



Among Adults Aged ≥65 Years with T2D on MDI…



Mean Post-Test Survey Results (1-5)

I believe I can set up the CGM system on my own 4.9 The CGM system showed information clearly and effectively

Getting started on the newest CGM system requires **approximately half the steps** of getting started on the previous version

Clinicians Can Leverage CGM to Improve Patient Engagement and QoL



A survey of 498 adults with **insulin-treated** diabetes highlighted QoL and health outcome benefits associated with CGM:

- Improved hypoglycemic confidence (75.9%)
- Improved overall well-being (50.0%)
- Improved HbA1c (73.1%)

- Reduced diabetes distress (59.3% - 74.1%)
- Reduced problems with hypoglycemia (61.8%) and chronic hyperglycemia (73.1%)

n=300 T1D; n=198 T2D

Polonsky WH, et al. The Role of Retrospective Data Review in the Personal Use of RTCGM: Perceived Impact on QOL and Health Outcomes. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. 2022;24:492-501.

Behavior Modification with CGM Use





Distribution of Yes/No Responses to Week 24 Survey of CGM Users (N=13)

Case 1: Background



- Nursing home resident aged 87 years
- Medication regimen:
 - Metformin 750 mg XR 2 tablet q am
 - Semaglutide 2 mg
 - Mixed insulin 70/30 32 units bid
- Due to increasing physical therapy (PT) frequency, patient was instructed to take 30 units bid insulin on days with scheduled PT

Based on SMBG Findings in this Patient, Reducing

SMBG 2x/day: Average Glucose in the 180s

2

59

0

BG Distribution	BG readings							
BGM data from 59 readings	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	
2% >250 mg/dL (0.03 readings/day)	Jan 30	31	Feb 1	2	3	4	5	Avg BG readings / day
54%	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Meter
180-250 mg/dL (1.1 readings/day)								Manual
44%	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	Below 54 mg/dL
/u-roo mg/dL (u.9 readings/day)	-							Above 250 mg/dL
0% 54-70 mg/dL (0 readings/day)	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	
0% <54 mg/dL (0 readings/day)	27	28	Mar 1	2	3	4	5	

Avg. Glucose (BGM)	184	
-----------------------	-----	--

Bolusing

This section requires data from an insulin pump, so there's nothing to display.

Given Continued Increased Activity, CGM was Prescribed





Pro Session Trends



Some Hypoglycemia was Observed, so Insulin Was Further Reduced



Sat, Mar 4, 2023



Case 2: Background



- Patient aged 72 years, s/p recent left leg revascularization for PVD
 - Now potential need for right leg revascularization (non-healing ulcer on right)
 - Previously in 150-160s by CGM at last visit, but now presenting with substantially worsening control
- Usually eating 2 free meals a day at the senior home
 - Example of 6 pm, high-carbohydrate cafeteria meal: Hot dog on bun with beets, potatoes, and dessert
- Currently on 2 mg semaglutide, empagliflozin, and 20-25 units mixed insulin/Humalog 75/25 with 2 meals a day
 - Intolerant to metformin

Patient Was Switched to Mixed Insulin/ Humalog 50/50 bid with 2 Large Meals

24.0%



AGP Report

February 2, 2023 - March 1, 2023 (28 Days)

Patient was instructed to consider an extra 10 units if eating lunch (patient does not always eat at 20 units bid)

GLUCOSE STATISTICS AND TARGETS					
February 2, 2023 - March 1, 2023 % Time CGM is Active	28 Days 99%				
Ranges And Targets For	Type 1 or Type 2 Diabetes				
Glucose Ranges Target Range 70-180 mg/dL	Targets % of Readings (Time/Day) Greater than 70% (16h 48min)				
Below 70 mg/dL	Less than 4% (58min)				
Below 54 mg/dL	Less than 1% (14min)				
Above 180 mg/dL	Less than 25% (6h)				
Above 250 mg/dL	Less than 5% (1h 12min)				
Each 5% increase in time in range (70-180 mg	/dL) is clinically beneficial.				
Average Glucose	221 mg/dL				
Glucose Management Indicator (G	MI) 8.6%				

TIME IN RANGES



Defined as percent coefficient of variation (%CV)

Glucose Variability

Patient to Follow Up with Pharmacist in 2 Weeks to Assess Glycemic Control



Considerations include the need for wound healing and possible additional surgery in 4-6 weeks for revascularization



Patient Video

Zac Harmon Age 66





Improving Diabetes Care Quality with Pharmacy Access to CGM

Carly Rodriguez, PharmD, FAMCP Vice President & Chief Pharmacy Officer Moda Health



New Measure Highlights the Potential Role of CGM in Hypoglycemia Prevention

Emergency Department (ED) Visits for Hypoglycemia in Older Adults with Diabetes

- Medicare members aged \geq 67 years with diabetes (type 1 or type 2)
- Risk-adjusted ratio of observed to expected ED visits for hypoglycemia

Rationale

- Older adults are more likely to experience severe hypoglycemia, worsening outcomes
- Consensus guidelines for the treatment of older adults emphasize prevention of hypoglycemia
- Payers have an opportunity to identify their older members at highest risk for hypoglycemia and implement preventative interventions

2023 NCQA HEDIS Measures: Care Disparities

New Measures are Aimed at Further Efforts to Identify and Reduce Disparities in Care



- NCQA introduced race and ethnicity stratifications to eight additional HEDIS measures
- Plans in place to continue expanding the race and ethnicity stratifications to HEDIS measures over the next several years to help identify disparities in care among patient populations
- These efforts build on NCQA's existing work dedicated to advancing health equity in data and quality measurement

HEDIS MY 2023: See What's New, What's Changed and What's Retired. National Committee for Quality Assurance. Published August 1, 2022. Accessed February 2023. <u>https://www.ncqa.org/blog/hedis-my-2023-see-whats-new-whats-changed-and-whats-retired/</u>

CGM Outcomes Are Aligned With Current and Future Payer Quality Measures



MOBILE Study

	Optimized BGM [†] (n=59)	CGM (n=116)	
Participants with HEDIS- compliant HbA1c (<8%)	39%	63%	
 Study Population: 53% ethnically diverse 55% HS diploma or less 58% non-private insurance 	25.8% absolute change in the number of participants able to meet this metric		
		\neg	
Optimized RCM defined as >2 fingerstick to	ete por dav		

Kaiser Claims Analysis



GM defined as ≥3 fingerstic

Martens T, et al. JAMA. 2021;325:2262-2272. Karter AJ, et al. JAMA. 2021;325(22):2273-2284.

CGM Use Prevents Glycemic Deterioration in Insulin-Treated Patients with Type 2 Diabetes

		Baseline HbA1c	Follow-Up HbA1c	HbA1c Change
 149 people with T2D Well-controlled (HbA1c <8% at baseline) 	CGM Users	6.98%	6.92%	-0.06%
 No history of severe hypoglycemia At Kaiser Permanente 	Non-CGM Users	7.1%	7.42%	+0.32%
T2D=Type 2 diabetes				Yielding a weighted adjusted net benefit of -0.30%

Karter AJ et al. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2022;24:5,332-337. doi:10.1089/dia.2021.0450.



"The improvement in blood sugar control was comparable to what a patient might experience after starting a new diabetes medication."

– Andrew J. Karter, PhD, Senior Research Scientist with Kaiser Permanente Division of Research



Access Considerations

The Pharmacy Channel Improves Efficiencies and Enhances the Member Experience





Coverage under the pharmacy channel reduces the waiting time by up to 4 weeks.

DME = durable medical equipment; HCP = health care provider; Rx = prescription.

Pharmacy Coverage of CGM Ensures Timely Access for Plan Members



Retrospective cohort study of 271 patients prescribed CGM and seen over a 3year period from 2017-2020 at Boston Medical Center

Patients/100

- CGM through pharmacy benefit was significantly faster than DME (*P*<0.0001)
- Factors associated with initiation of CGM were younger age, *private insurance*, and education with a clinical diabetes educator
- Identifying as Black or Hispanic was significantly associated with decreased initiation of CGM
- A1C improved in patients initiated on CGM from 9.06% to 8.22% (P<0.001)



Time period to initiation

Modzelewski KL, et al. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2022 Feb 16. doi: 10.1089/dia.2021.0557.

Pharmacy Access to CGM is Crucial for Underserved Populations

Compared to people with commercial insurance, Medicaid beneficiaries have...

- ...higher rates of poor diabetes management
- ...worse glycemic control

January 2022

By Greg Howe and Jennie Chavis, Center for Health Care Strategies

Made possible through support from The Leong M. and Harry B. Helmsley Charitable Trust

- ...experience more barriers to care (including access to and coverage of CGM and other diabetes technologies)
- ...experience more acute- and long-term complications related to diabetes

Pharmacy access benefits those who are...

...unable to take delivery from DME ...in transient housing situations ...affected by limited access due to

the pandemic, natural disasters, etc.

Expanding Medicaid Access to Continuous Glucose Monitors

"Cover CGMs as a pharmacy benefit rather than a DME benefit. Patients report that accessing a CGM and its components is more convenient through a pharmacy than through a DME supplier."

https://www.chcs.org/media/Expanding-Medicaid-Access-to-Continuous-Glucose-Monitors_011222.pdf



Removing Access Barriers to CGM Promotes Clinically Appropriate Utilization

BCBS of NC expanded access to CGM through the pharmacy benefit and implemented insulin point-of-sale edits, **reducing need for manual prior authorization**.

- In partnership with UNC, a study evaluated CGM use before and after the Dec 2018 policy change. Study authors concluded:
 - "Increasing access to these systems through policy options such as adding CGM to the pharmacy benefit
 may be an important part of promoting equitable uptake of evidence-based technology by
 reducing barriers to access that lower income or lower health literacy patients may face."
 - "Given the UM criteria implemented along with this policy change restricted use of CGM to patients with diabetes who used insulin, the increase in CGM use reflects those that would benefit from the use of CGM devices and aligns with clinical guidelines."

Pathak S, et al. Impact of Expanding Access to Continuous Glucose Monitoring Systems Among Insulin Users with Type 1 or Type 2 Diabetes. *Diabetes Technology and Therapeutics*. Mar 2023.169-177. doi:10.1089/dia.2022.0418.

Expanding CGM Coverage Results in Increased CGM Use for Patients Likely to Benefit Per Clinical Guidelines



BCBS NC

- Policy change reducing PA requirements
 70% 60%
- Coverage for CGM through the pharmacy benefit for nearly all insulin-using members
 CGM automatically approved with a record of a diabetes-related diagnosis code and insulin use*



Benefits Observed: Enabled real-time billing for CGM devices Reduced average cost-sharing for patients Reduced barriers such as requiring stable address for mail-order DME and navigating unfamiliar brick and mortar DME providers Reduced need for preauthorization by automating approval process for CGM products for any patient with a history of diabetesrelated diagnosis code and insulin use

T1D, n=10,925; T2D, n=32,566.

*Insulin was categorized as rapid-acting only, long-acting only, or both rapid-acting, and long-acting based on prescription fill history.

BCBS NC=Blue Cross Blue Shield of North Carolina; T1D=type 1 diabetes; T2D=type 2 diabetes **Reference: 1.** Pathak S, et al. *Diabetes Technol Ther.* 2023. Published online ahead of print January 4, 2023. doi:10.1089/dia2022.0418

CGM Utilization Across All Insurance Types in Insulin-Treated* Members

Health Plan Blueprint



Increasing Access to CGM

Receive input from other parts of organization; complete clinical discovery Work with PBM and manufacturers to obtain additional clinical data & contracted rates

Analyze and compare historic utilization and spend data with projected data Finalize recommendation; receive input from P&T committee Operationalizing: standardize benefit, implement with PBM, communicate to providers, track utilization

Collaborative discussions & input from provider teams, diabetes educators, medical directors, product experts, and other SMEs.



Panel Discussion

Omar Daoud, PharmD

Senior Director of Pharmacy Community Health Plan of Washington

Carly Rodriguez, PharmD, FAMCP Vice President & Chief Pharmacy Officer Moda Health

Nicole Ehrhardt, MD

Assistant Professor of Medicine UW Medicine Diabetes Institute

John Watkins, PharmD, MPh, BCPS

Residency Program Director Premera Blue Cross





How to Claim Credit



- **Option 1:** Complete the paper-based evaluation and turn it in at the end of the meeting.
 - A certificate will be emailed to you within 3 weeks
- Option 2: OR, complete the evaluation online. Please do NOT do both.
 - Go to <u>www.impactedu.net/cgmevaluation</u>
 - Enter code 41323.
 - Once you complete the evaluation and click "Submit" you will receive instructions to claim your credit. Please be sure to follow these instructions or your credit will not be processed. Pharmacists will be prompted to submit their credit to CPE Monitor which will require an ImpactEdu account complete with your NABP information. You will be prompted to login or create an account during this process.

*Pharmacist have up to 30 days to complete the evaluation and claim credit for participation so that information can be submitted to CPE Monitor as required.



Closing Comments